

# In the United States Court of Federal Claims

## OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

Filed: April 4, 2023

\* \* \* \* \*

DEE ANN QUANTIE,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH  
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

\* \* \* \* \*

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

No. 18-610V

Special Master Gowen

Damages; Influenza (“Flu”);  
Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine  
Administration (“SIRVA”).

*Andrew Donald Downing*, Downing, Allison & Jorgenson, for petitioner.

*Katherine Carr Esposito*, U.S. Dept. of Justice, Washington, D.C., for respondent.

### **DECISION ON DAMAGES<sup>1</sup>**

On April 30, 2018, Dee Ann Quantie (“petitioner”) filed a petition in the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program.<sup>2</sup> Petition (ECF No. 1). Petitioner alleged that as a result of receiving the influenza (“Flu”) vaccine on October 5, 2018, she suffered a Table Shoulder Injury Related to Vaccine Administration (“SIRVA”). *Id.* On February 6, 2023, the undersigned issued a Ruling on Entitlement, finding that petitioner was entitled to compensation. Ruling on Entitlement (ECF No. 78).

On April 4, 2023, the respondent filed a Proffer of Award of Compensation, which indicates petitioner’s agreement to compensation on the terms set forth therein. Proffer (ECF No. 82). The proffer is attached hereto as Appendix A.

---

<sup>1</sup> Pursuant to the E-Government Act of 2002, *see* 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012), because this opinion contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, I intend to post it on the website of the United States Court of Federal Claims. The court’s website is at <http://www.uscfc.uscourts.gov/aggregator/sources/7>. Before the opinion is posted on the court’s website, each party has 14 days to file a motion requesting redaction “of any information furnished by that party: (1) that is a trade secret or commercial or financial in substance and is privileged or confidential; or (2) that includes medical files or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy.” Vaccine Rule 18(b). An objecting party must provide the court with a proposed redacted version of the opinion. *Id.* If neither party files a motion for redaction within 14 days, the opinion will be posted on the court’s website without any changes. *Id.*

<sup>2</sup> The National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program is set forth in Part 2 of the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755, codified as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10 to 34 (2012) (hereinafter “Vaccine Act” or “the Act”). Hereinafter, individual section references will be to 42 U.S.C. § 300aa of the Act.

**Consistent with the terms in the attached Proffer, I hereby award the following in compensation for all damages that would be available under 42 U.S.C. §300aa-15(a):**

- 1) A lump sum payment of \$50,893.36 (for pain and suffering and documented past out-of-pocket expenses) in the form of a check made payable to petitioner.**

The Clerk of the Court is directed to **ENTER JUDGMENT** in accordance with this decision.<sup>3</sup>

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

**s/Thomas L. Gowen**  
Thomas L. Gowen  
Special Master

---

<sup>3</sup> Entry of judgment is expedited by each party's filing notice renouncing the right to seek review. Vaccine Rule 11(a).